



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/778,259 | 02/07/2001 | Cristobal Guillermo dos Remedios | 13388 4496 | |
| 7590 06/28/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser | | | CHEU, CHANGHWA J | |
| 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1641 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | T | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/778,259 | REMEDIOS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jacob Cheu | 1641 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M | <u>ay 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,3-7,11 and 34-37 is/are pending in the second s | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
|)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/778,259

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed on 4/28/2005 has been received and entered into record and considered.

The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application:

- 1. Claims 2, 8-10, and 12-33 are cancelled.
- 2. Claims 35-37 are added to the instant application.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, 11, 34-37 are under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7, 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hannas et al. (US 6235538).

Hannas et al. teach a method of analyzing environmental toxicants. Hannas et al. teach contacting sample containing environmental toxicants with a binding partner of a template where the template could be nucleic acid, RNA or protein (See Summary of Invention). The binding partner has binding affinity for the template and the presence of the environmental toxicant would change this binding affinity, e.g. dissociation or inhibition of the binding of the binding partner to the nucleic acid template (See claims 1-

Application/Control Number: 09/778,259 Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

.5), and such change is an indicative of the presence of the target environmental toxicant (see claims 1-5).

With respect to claim 3, the environmental toxicant could be metals or other xenobiotics (See Background).

With respect to claim 4, the binding partner could be a zinc-finger binding protein (See claim 1).

With respect to claim 5, Hannas et al. teach using enzyme-substrate for immunodetection (See claims 3-4).

With respect to claim 7, the zinc-finger protein contains sulfhydry functional group (Col. 3, line 6-20).

With respect to claim 37, the solid substrate can be of cellulose or glass (Col. 7, line 62-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,259

Art Unit: 1641

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hannas et al. in view of Urdea et al. (US 5552280).

Hannas et al. reference has been discussed but is silent in teching use of polystyrene as a solid substrate of DNA molecule for the assay.

Urdea et al. teach using polystyrene substrate for attaching DNA can increase the efficiency for binding assay (See Disclosure of Invention).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have motivated Hannas et al. to use polystyrene for the substrates of nucleic acid as taught by Urdea et all to increase the detection efficiency since it is known in the art and use of different material for optimization purpose merely involves routine practice in the art. In re Aller 105 USPQ 233.

6. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hannas et al. in view of Cabib et al. (US 5784162).

Hannas et al. reference teaches using fluorescen label for detection the binding of the environmental toxicant. However, Hannas et al. do not explicitly disclose using a specific fluorescent "dye" for detection purpose (emphasis added).

Cabib et al. teach using acridine orange, a fluorescent dye, as a fluorescent marker for detection purpose (Col. 33, line 50 to Col. 34, line 25).

Application/Control Number: 09/778,259

Art Unit: 1641

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided Hannas et al. with alternative fluorescent marker, such as acridine orange as taught by Cabib, since it is well-known in the art for fluorescent marker and use of different alternative for optimization purpose merely involves routine practice in the art. In re Aller 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 11, 34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Cheu whose telephone number is 571-272-0814. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacob Cheu Examiner Art Unit 1641

CHI Un.

June 9, 2005

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

06/23/01